Student Council Meeting
Missouri University of Science and Technology
Tuesday, November 30, 2021 at 06:30 PM
Butler Carlton Hall Room 125
1401 North Pine Street
Rolla, MO 65409
MINUTES

EXECUTIVES PRESENT:
President Amanda Aiken, Vice President of Engagement and Outreach Chase Johnson, Vice President of Operations Arnold Nguyen, Vice President of Student Services Lauren Horn, Vice President of Academics Kate Fabac, Academic Resources Lead Emily Collins, Campus Infrastructure Lead Naman Desai, Leadership Development Lead Wulfe Retzlaff, Community Liaison Adam McTigue, Student Activity Finance Board Chair Cody Goins, St. Patrick’s Board President Elliot Sutalski, Executive-at-Large Zane Stobie, Advisor John Gallagher

VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT:
Total: 102
1. **Call to Order**

President Amanda Aiken called the meeting to order at 06:30 pm.

2. **Approval of Agenda**

Zane Stobie motioned to approve the agenda. Ryan Highfill seconded. The agenda was approved.

3. **Approval of November 9, 2021 Minutes**

Zane Stobie motioned to approve the minutes. Ryan Highfill seconded. The November 9, 2021 minutes were approved as published.

4. **New Business**

   a. **Election Procedures Committee (EPC) Nominations/Election**

   Zane Stobie, Austen Solvie, Gabriel Alden, and Haley Wagner were nominated for the Election Procedures Committee positions.

   Ryan Highfill motioned to vote by acclamation. Naman Desai seconded. Zane Stobie, Austen Solvie, Gabriel Alden, and Haley Wagner were elected members of the Election Procedures Committee by acclamation.

   b. **SAFB Chair Confirmation**

   Jared Caldwell was considered for SAFB Chair for the year 2022.

   Jared Caldwell was elected (65 for Jared Caldwell [89.04%]; 8 for No Confidence [10.96%]). Illegal Votes: 2 aye and 4 nays were rejected as fraudulent.

   c. **SAFB Club Sports SP2022**

   Cody Goins presented the budget: [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1q5gQiTq35p5wnMG_e4nGfjOTwqZLR4DTLYjNo5Lo/edit?usp=sharing](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1q5gQiTq35p5wnMG_e4nGfjOTwqZLR4DTLYjNo5Lo/edit?usp=sharing)

   ??? motioned to approve the SAFB Club Sports SP2022 budget. ??? seconded.

   There was no discussion.

   SAFB Club Sports SP2022 was approved with no amendments (85 ayes [95.51%]; 4 nays [4.49%]). Illegal Votes: 5 ayes and 1 nay were rejected as fraudulent.

   d. **SAFB Campus Events Combat Robotics Tournament**

   Cody Goins presented the budget:
https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1a2i-7m_RSd4xjWfuZFE80tgxZB4zR1vUiTA0b9Rc/edit?usp=sharing

?? motioned to approve SAFB Campus Events Combat Robotics Tournament. ?? seconded.

There was no discussion.

SAFB Campus Events Combat Robotics Tournament was approved with no amendments (84 ayes [98.82%]; 1 nay [1.18%]). Illegal Votes: 3 ayes and 3 nays were rejected as fraudulent.

e. SAFB DSF FS2022-SP2023

Cody Goins presented the budget:
https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DgYfqhK9kIHxUvKmrXdPW7-emV4DJummoeY2RdfgTXU/edit?usp=sharing

Cody Goins stated: "Okay. So, we had the first forum. Was that two meetings ago? But SAFB did go back in because we… after we put out our final… final allocation we went back in and had a meeting with KMNR. I know there was a lot of discussion about that. So, we had them submit a new budget. We looked at it, and we decided that… Yeah. We met with them and talked about it and then discussed on our own about the items we wanted to fund on the budget after getting some more knowledge about how they operated, and we decided on a 58% fully funded which is about $41,000 for them. They asked for about $70,000. Other than that, Yearbook and Newspaper stayed the same from when Chandler presented this at the last DSF Forum so that hasn't changed, and then most other organizations are at 93% except for Club Sports which is at 80% and then we put professional projects at 81.16%. So, any questions about DSF?"

Nicholas Reichert, KA stated: "So I just wanted to point out regarding the increase to the Design Teams. Their cost per student is going from $9.50… or $12.40 to $20.73 which is the highest of the two [unintelligible] SAFB."

Cody Goins stated: "We… so the way we factor in the cost for Design Teams / Pro Projects is we take the request from this year, take half of it because that's the percentage that can be funded, and then we'll put that in for the request which is about $330,000. And then SAFB… we worked a lot with percentage funded instead of the true total value. So, we decided on 81.16% which is, I will say, it's quite a bit more than they got last year. I believe it was $160k. I could go look back at that to make sure, but I was looking over the numbers today and it's about $160k so it is… it is quite a hefty increase for them."

Amanda Aiken stated: “And just, like, based on what Chandler said, like, forever ago, that decision was mostly come to based on like the surveys that were sent out around campus and things like that, and I know that, like, the number of Design Teams had gone up and, like, everything like that and nobody got to compete so there was, like, all of that that went into it if you guys remember like three meetings ago as to why that decision was reached within SAFB, so.”

Rebecka Connor, SUB stated: “Do you know what percentage increase this is from last year?”
Cody Goins stated: “Yes from last year would be $12.40 over to $27.30.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Pro Projects last year got around $9.69 per student… oh wait.”

Cody Goins stated: “That's the… that's just the inflation rates.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “There's another stupid column. StuCo approved $10.35.”

Cody Goins stated: “There's a $12.40 somewhere.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Wow. I got asked a complicated question, but I can't find it.”

Cody Goins stated: “There it is.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “It was about $10.35 last year is the number that we're finding on the last year's spreadsheet.”

Rebecka Connor, SUB stated: “Thanks for being [unintelligible]”

Amanda Aiken stated: “There's like eight spreadsheets from last year so…”

Rebecka Connor, SUB stated: “But to follow that up, though, is there not any way that this could be more gradual of an increase instead of, like, all at once? Because I know a lot of these organizations that are used to almost completely being funded, this might cause some sticker shock for them.”

Cody Goins stated: “Yeah we can… it can be moved to lower the amount.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “There can be motions proposed at this time if that's something that you would like to do, but the student fee overall pretty much has to stay the same so…”

Rebecka Connor, SUB stated: “Right, yeah. I understand.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “That number. So… they are still receiving only about 81% of what they needed though, so.”

Cody Goins stated: “Which, if that is the route we want to go, I did, believe in here, created this where we would lower the Pro Project's amount and then raise the 7 amount to about 98% and they go down to… I capped Club Sports about 85% because they didn't fluctuate too much on it. It was about $10k maybe if we took from them. So Pro Projects took the hit, and they're about down to about 63% which I think is around where they were last year as well.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “…if everyone else was to go up to 90%. So, this is like the orgs listed in about the same order.”

Cody Goins stated: “Yeah, I should have put the names on there.”
Amanda Aiken stated: “Yes.”

Austin Wall, MAL stated: “What is the process of increasing the actual DSF? Because we ran into this issue…”

Amanda Aiken stated: “The overall student fee?”

Austin Wall, MAL stated: “Yes, so the issue in the past DSF is that student [unintelligible] fee [unintelligible].”

Amanda Aiken stated: “So, the way the student fee works is we can pretty much only raise it by CPI. We can pretty much only raise it by CPI because of the process that it has to go through so we approve our student fees and then from there it goes to Dr. Murphy. Dr. Murphy gets to say: “Yeah, looks good.” Signs off on it, and then it goes to the Board of Curators, and they, overall, have to approve the total student fee so it’s really hard for us to present the way through that like… yes, we need to put more money in our student fees to like get it all the way through and passed by the Board of Curators because they like to try to keep fees low for students especially incoming students. I was like: Dr. Murphy. John. Anything to add?”

John Gallagher stated: “[unintelligible] …yet capped by state law. There’s a bit of guidance [unintelligible] a little bit of auxiliary guidance. We haven’t received a full recommendation.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Yeah. So, we know it’s approximately 2% that we add but every year it fluctuates just a little bit, and then depending on, like, enrollment and everything, we want to make sure that everybody’s getting adequate amounts of money so it’s approximately this. All right, Gabe.”

Gabriel Alden, EWB stated something about anger on Pro Projects and KMNR.

Amanda Aiken stated: “There’s definitely debate on how money should be spent, and that’s why we try to go through this spreadsheet and general body is a pretty good representation of all students on campus from a variety of different Design Teams, organizations, and everything so that’s why we try to get everybody’s input here which is why we make the initial decisions on who gets what money.”

Amelia Martinez, KMNR stated about what survey questions were asked.

Amanda Aiken stated: “So basically it was all of the DSF organizations and it was like rank them in order of importance and then there was a follow-up question that was similar it was like hey you have a hundred dollars to split between these organizations. Could you go through and give each one a dollar amount? And like, to also like show importance and everything like that just so that like the average student could see: hey this is what SAFB goes through because we only have so much money to work with so based on the information that we received from that and the comments that were given, I think it was in the end of your survey last year? I was like, I worked on the questions, so. Yeah, and that went out to the entire student body to fill that out so that is where that information came from.”

Someone stated something about ranking of importance.
Amanda Aiken stated: “I think there might have been a couple other ones, but I think those are the two main ones that we looked at specifically for, like, ranking of importance. John, do you have something?”

John Gallagher stated: “Additional questions on what type of activities they provide. DSF… [audio was cut off].”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Yeah, and then DSF organizations also have the opportunity to add questions into the survey that goes out to the entire student body so they can get like a couple questions so they can get feedback as well. So just different things along those lines were all taken into account by SAFB when recommending this number. Rebecca?”

Rebecca Connor, SUB stated: “With KMNR being handicapped, what fully funded?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “What do you… what do you mean by like handicapped?”

Rebecca Connor, SUB stated: “We had that whole… a couple of meetings ago with…”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Yes and then all the meetings have happened and everything since so…”

Rebecca Connor, SUB stated: “Yeah, I’m talking about…”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Then it’ll be the next SAFB’s decision on: hey we still get this amount of money. Do we want to give the whole increase like, yes, we need to raise them all like a certain percent, but maybe, like, SUB doesn’t need as much money next year for some weird reason or ASUM doesn’t need as much money? Let’s take that extra money or if a group had a lot of rollover and they don’t need to be funded as much next year, then that money can go back towards KMNR and it’ll be the same sort of game of moving dollars and cents around. There’s no great solution. And then I know that like the Yearbook penalty and everything; that money all got moved around as well so I think that’s one of the main reasons that the increase happened? Yes, in the back?”

Austen Solvie, ΠΚΦ stated: “…fully funded percentage was calculated? How was it calculated?”

Cody Goins stated: “Are you talking about specifically for like these numbers right here?”

Austen Solvie, ΠΚΦ stated: “Yeah.”

Cody Goins stated: “So it just goes off of their total, and then it’s just divided by the request. So, the request is just how much they asked for us, assuming that was their entire budget that they needed to spend, and then we just take the total and divide that and that’s how much percentage that we’re fully funding that organization.”

??? stated: “Can you show the percentage? I’m just wondering why [unintelligible]? What was the reasoning [unintelligible]? Why is it so low?”

Cody Goins stated: “So one of our big debates when we met with them was talking about their concerts and payroll. Those are… those are the two main things. The majority of SAFB thought that we shouldn’t
fund their concerts and that we should lower some of their payroll so we did that and then we kind of said that this 58.52% is like the max that we would want to give them based on what they want to spend their money on.”

??? stated: “Do you know how much?”

Cody Goins stated: “They're usually on par with the other DSF groups which I think is traditionally around… it’s in the upper 90s.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Gosh guys. Way to try to be organized KMNR and color coordinate your stuff. That blue. Can you guys see that one better? I can make the text white. How about that? I don't want to completely mess up like everything. How's that? Does anybody have a specific thing they want to look at? Also, remember you guys did receive this in your email if you need to look at it closer because I know the numbers are kind of tiny. Yes?”

Austin Wall, MAL stated: “So, do we have an option to fund the concert?”

Cody Goins stated: “Yes. Not… I have an option that puts them around 93% with the other DSF groups. They're about that.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Yeah, so it's just recommended that like concerts were zero but… and that was just so we could like do the overall costs, if that makes sense. So, it's not necessarily that they can't spend their money on the concerts. It's recommended that they don't, but they could still take like the money if they chose not to get new headphones or whatever they could take that $500 and put that towards their concerts. So, they can move the money around just the same way that the EPC can move it around. It's like hey you have this much money as long as it's not like a redlined item it's just like for DSF. SAFB is saying hey we would redline because we don't think this is a part of your mission, but technically if they want to have their concerts they could spend less money on headphones, music, whatever else is in their budget and move it towards their concerts, but there is an option if a motion wants to be made to move money around to give them additional money. We have some other options that are available. Yes?”

Caleb Rock stated something about stripped down enough to operations.

??? stated something about planned to spend on closer to campus. Concert is a campus event and don’t want to lose that.

Caleb Rock stated that concerts aren’t explicit in mission statement, but KMNR hosted concerts.

Austin Wall motioned to amend the SAFB DSF FS2022-SP2023 budget by moving $17,900 from Professional Projects to KMNR. ???. seconded.

Amanda Aiken stated: “Okay so that proposal would be $17,900… so that would be taking 17… so it would raise KMNR to… from… is that for both semesters? Sorry guys. So, it raised KMNR to $5.82 per semester per student, and it would overall add about, what, $17,900 to their budget and take that away
from Professional Projects. Do I need to write that down somewhere? Write it on the whiteboard. What do I have…? Yes, Levi?”

Levi Madden, CRDT stated: “[unintelligible] SAFB funds?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “What do you mean by SAFB funds? John, take it away.”

John Gallagher stated: “DSF cannot access Campus Events funds.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Yeah, we're supposed to work all of our events and everything into our budgets that we have. No, cannot access Campus Events funds. Are there any other questions concerning moving $17,900 from Pro Projects to KMNR? Oh, did somebody second that? Okay, seconded. There we go. Yes?”

??? stated something about pulling up a notepad.

Amanda Aiken stated: “I can pull up a notes thing? This would be… when we call the question for this, it'll just be for the motion to move the $17,900 from Professional Projects to KMNR. Right now, we are still discussing just this motion. Yes?”

Levi Madden, CRDT stated that new Design Teams are coming. Professional Projects is not a single group but a multitude of groups.

??? stated that some Design Teams got no funding.

Amanda Aiken stated: “So those specific Design Teams that received zero funding did not receive funding because of the rollover because they weren't able to go to competitions and everything from COVID so they had enough to roll over to cover the entire amount that they needed.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Correct. It allowed other Design Teams to get more money because they didn't need it at the time. Yes?”

Austin Wall stated that Pro Projects is basically doubling its allocation. Pro Projects is still getting an increase. We have so much more Design Teams.

Amanda Aiken stated: “White sweatshirt.”

Brenna Cornelison, MRDT stated that their team is not getting enough sponsorships. Companies still need that money. There are a lot more restrictions on Design Teams in regards to SAFB. A question was asked about the percent of students that attend KMNR concert?

Amelia Martinez, KMNR stated that there have been no concerts for the last 3 years.

??? stated that COVID sucks and we can all agree; Design Teams aren’t unique dealing with COVID.
Elliot Sutalski, St. Pat’s stated about this budget being for 2022-2023, correct? Money is different, do you guys (referring to Design Teams) expect that the companies will come back?

John Hansen, FSAE stated with COVID, the teams are one-third funded, team lost $25,000 when the company went out of business and lost money. $15,000 were missing. Companies like Boeing, Ford, and Chevy blacklisted this school. We are not allowed receive more than half the requested budget from SAFB.

Amanda Aiken stated: “Yellow jacket.”

??? stated: “Never mind.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Retracted. Ryan?”

Ryan Highfill called the question on the amendment. ??? seconded. A hand vote was taken. Majority of the hands did not want the question to be called.

Amanda Aiken stated: “Alrighty we will have discussion for five more minutes, and I will try to reach everybody that I can and then we will go from there. Does that sound about good guys? Yeah? All right, Rebecca. You had your hand up for a minute.”

Rebecca Connor, SUB stated: “One team can fit me. I agree with you getting this increase. Something is better than nothing.”

Brenna Cornelison, MRDT stated: “We were in the red last year. We are trying to give them experience. Other teams were building [unintelligible] your specific team.”

Brendan Duvall, CRDT stated: “So one thing that I know for sure is: Professional Projects actually get a lot more restrictions than the other DSF groups do, and each individual Professional Projects has a maximum they get from funding. So, what that means is, the DSF budget is based off half of what the budget for Professional Projects is. So, an 80% funding actually means 40% funding overall for what Design Teams needs to operate.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Black sweatshirt in the middle. Yes.”

Antonio Fernandez stated: “It’s important to note that due to our educational, non-commercial status with the FCC is illegal to take fundraising at all from prospective people.”

Levi Madden, CRDT stated: “I did some reading into some FCC a couple of weeks ago, and if I looked at the wrong one, correct me if it’s incorrect. What I heard is that they can only not air ads that benefit third parties. They can do fundraising on themselves on air up to 1% of their budget every year which leads to a [unintelligible].”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Yes, or no? That is a no. Neat.”
Levi Madden, CRDT stated: “And one more thing. There’s more than one team that needs ceramic engineers. There’s at least three [unintelligible].”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Too bad she’s graduating. Go talk to ceramics.”

Austin Wall, MAL stated: “From what I heard from all of the Design Teams, even with the increase without this change, they still don’t have enough money. I really think that we need to, even if it’s difficult, try to get more money in the student fee. We will never have enough money if we just keep going inflation while also increasing the number of Design Teams that we have. Every new Design Team we get is another $20,000 going in the budget. It’s not sustainable to keep going with inflation and degrading all of the other budgets because Design Teams are important. Of course, they are important. They’re not … we’re students here. It gives us something to do, looks good on resumes, and I get that it’s important, but we can’t degrade all of the other budgets just because you are important. KMNR is also important, all of the people in KMNR, all of the people who listen to it attend the concerts. It’s more than just Design Teams versus KMNR.”

Connor Keefe, RHA stated: “My main issue is what KMNR was saying is: if we take this money and put it all towards Design Teams who have an official avenue to raise funds, we are taking away from organizations that don’t have other avenues to pursue. In the future, it’s going to be more difficult for them to request or add more funding.”

Haley Wagner, TJHA stated: “Based off of what everybody is saying about it’s not your major or you are not directly involved, you can’t go off of that. You’re not involved in everything, ever. You represent the student body. It’s about everyone, not just yourself. You can’t just [unintelligible] because you don’t care or [unintelligible].”

Zane Stobie called the question to the amendment. Rebecka Connor seconded.

The motion to amend the SAFB DSF FS2022-SP2023 budget by moving $17,900 from Professional Projects to KMNR failed (25 ayes [28.09%]; 64 nays [71.91%]; needed 67 ayes [75%+]). Illegal Votes: 7 ayes and 0 nays were rejected as fraudulent.

Amanda Aiken stated: “Moving back to our main DSF, does anybody have any further discussion on DSF? Yes, Rebecca.”

Rebecka Connor, SUB stated: “I still think Professional Projects should be increased, but not as much as this and as not as little as the other option.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Yes, Nick.”

Nicholas Reichert, KA stated: “What would be the route to take? Would there be another open forum?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “For the DSF budget? We really need to get it done this meeting. We’ve sort of pushed it off as long as we can with our open forums, extra meetings, that we had, but we need to get it through our end so that it can go through Dr. Murphy, his whole process, so that it can move on to the Curators and be approved in April.”
Nicholas Reichert, KA stated: “Got it.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “If it did not get approved…?”

John Gallagher stated: “Then it would go back to SAFB.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Then it would go back to SAFB, sort of start over again, come back at the very first meeting next semester which would be terrible, but it would happen. Yes?”

Samuel Essary, HKN stated: “Our group suggested that perhaps we could consider half the previously allocated budget for the concerts and that would be [unintelligible].”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Is that a motion or…?”

Samuel Essary, HKN stated: “We would like to have any opinions on the matter.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Are there opinions on funding? Hold on. Let me get you guys a number if I can find the right document. There’s so many open. This one? No. Concerts, now, were the total that we had before. It would be raising KMNR about $8,950 taken away from Pro Projects. Yes. Funding options. So, this is SAFB’s current recommendations which were on the first page. This is the main DSF groups prioritized with the exception of, that one is Yearbook, that one is Newspaper, Club Sports, and Pro Projects. So, Club Sports would go down about 5%. If KMNR got their full 93% funding that the other organizations would receive, then that would put Pro Projects and Club Sports to 75.6% and put everybody else down to 90%. Oh. Yeah, it would put everyone at 93%... raise all of the, like bigger groups, to 100% and put these two at 80%, and again like, these over here... so like, this would raise the fee by a total of $4.71 if everyone were to be funded 100% except for Newspaper and Yearbook. Then, it would be raising the student fee by $11.99, and then, if we were to lower Pro Projects and put everybody at 98% except for Club Sports, and then this is what it would look like. Yes?”

??? stated: “Could you [unintelligible]?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “This one? Yeah? That one? This one.”

??? stated: “What does that mean?”

Cody Goins stated: “So it was like… the main groups that aren't like EFC groups. So, Club Sports and Pro Projects mostly are the two kind of outliers there.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Those are the two that are made up of smaller organizations that request funding from that budget so they're just the organizations. Yes?”

Austin Wall, MAL stated: “You said that it would be difficult to get a fee increase. Have you tried doing that? Like... you said it'd be difficult to happen, but have you actually tried?”
Amanda Aiken stated: “I think we did it, like, two years…? It's been a while since we've done it. Dr. Murphy, do you have an answer to that one?”

Dr. Murphy stated: “What was that?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “When was the last time that students chose to increase their student fees that, like, GenBod was like, yes we want to raise our fees above the inflation rate?”

Dr. Murphy stated: “Well, last year [unintelligible].”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Oh, yeah. So, it would have been last year when we increased to get Club Sports position. So, last year it was increased.”

Dr. Murphy stated: “We still did, like, two budgets. One would be funded [unintelligible] $5 option and then also [unintelligible].”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Can we pass along two budgets?”

Dr. Murphy stated: “I don’t want them to shoot down a budget. If we can say: we want this one but we’ll go with this one if this can’t happen.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Potentially. That's a weird one. We've never done it before. Unprecedented times. All right, in the back and then…”

John Hansen, FSAE stated: “KMNR says they haven’t hosted a concert in two years. What did they do with last years and the year before that with the $20,000 a year of no concerts?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Amelia, if you want to answer that question.”

Amelia Martinez, KMNR stated: “Part of what I know is that’s part of the COVID fund money. That’s all rollover. We can’t… it’s sitting somewhere that we can’t use.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Yeah, so all DSF organizations were basically told, like, hey COVID money, you’re allowed to use it, so let's spend that over the next four years so that your organization can slowly recover from, like, the lower enrollment from COVID and everything like that so it was a recommendation to all DSF organizations to, like, kind of pretend, like, that money doesn't exist, and then Amelia you had your hand raised for something else.”

Amelia Martinez, KMNR stated: “The funds that we are requesting for KMNR [unintelligible] really are for the students. [unintelligible]”

Megan Baris, STARS stated: “I think that both of these groups deserve this money and that would cover the $5 increase… yeah. They all need the money and we’re all trying to recover from COVID. Personally, I’ll pay an extra $5.”
John Gallagher stated: “Dr. Murphy, would that have to be a separate vote to add funds to that pot of that level? From your end, you have to approve things to the Curators.”

Dr. Murphy stated: “You’re getting me completely confused.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Come on. Pay attention, Dr. Murphy.”

Dr. Murphy stated: “I apologize for the interruption. What are you trying to do?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “So, the question on the table is we have two budgets. We have the current one that we’re discussing as to where to put the student money, but then there’s also discussion that we would like to potentially raise the student fee by a total of $4.71, and would you need us to do a completely separate vote?”

Dr. Murphy stated: “You’re talking well above the inflation rate?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Yes, in addition to the CPI increase also raising an additional $4-ish. Would you need that as a separate vote in order to prove that to the Curators or…?”

Dr. Murphy stated: “Okay… Amanda, you can vote on it however you want. I’ll fast forward it to the Chancellor on what you vote on as long as it’s not illegal or immoral. I mean I’m not gonna lose my job over the whole thing, so I mean, but you… historically, when there's been a vote for something to exceed the CPI amount by the Student Council, it’s been for a designated purpose. Like last year, we exceeded it because there was a demand or an interest in funding Club Sports so we put an extra $10 for Club Sports, and a few years ago, there was an extra fee put in for supplemental Student Health Services or Counseling Services, rather there was. We’ve never… I, in my memory, we’ve not had a supplemental increase just for the sake of increasing it. Now, I'll put it forward, I'm not sure if that's going to go over as well. I mean, the Curators like to stay within the… within the CPI number unless we can give them a dedicated reason why we want the extra money. Now, I'm not saying they wouldn't approve it, but I'm just saying I think that would be looked more favorably if it was for a dedicated purpose that the student population was saying that they found to be of great interest. I do have a… I do have one other option before I'll suggest you though as well. Yes, ma'am.”

??? stated: “That would raise that money for extra Design Teams. That’s pretty much how the thing falls. It’s [unintelligible] more money for them to fund them and not take away from the…”

Dr. Murphy stated: "I'm going to leave that at the discretion of your President. I mean you can certainly do that. I mean you certainly could pass a resolution saying you wanted a supplemental fee… a supplemental increase for a particular, and that's exactly what I'm getting at, but I'm… that's up to the President. The other thing I was going to mention to you, Amanda, that you may have an option is that if you haven't been watching the news at all, I'm increasingly confident… I'm getting greater confidence that the CPI will be something more than 2%. And we've not gotten the guidance yet on what the CPI is going to be for the fees. I think we'll be getting that soon, I hope, because we've got to get the Board paper done by the end of January, but you could live with the traditional 2% increase, but put an option in there to say if we've got supplemental money that's coming in above the CPI that you want to dedicate it for these areas as well. So, if the CPI comes in like at 4%, you could take that other 2% to… yes if it was
above that, and that might be a nice fallback because I think the odds are pretty good it's going to be better than 2%.

Amanda Aiken stated: “Okay, so does everybody understand what Dr. Murphy said? CPI usually raises approximately 2% but it could be more and we could say, hey if it's 5% or something, we want that percentage that's over the expected 2% to go specifically to a spot. Does that make sense? Okay. Yes, Levi.”

Levi Madden, CRDT stated: “On the original DSF SAFB proposal, is that the case to where it would go towards Club Sports be equal to Pro Projects?”

Cody Goins stated: “When Chandler had it, yes I changed it around a little bit to ours being: we would raise Club Sports to be equal Pro Projects because they're off by like that 1% and then it would go towards the other DSF groups in an even belt.”

Zachary Miller, Blue Key stated: “Point of information. I've looked it up and we would roughly see a 6% to 8% CPI increase next year it's a...”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Approximate 6% to 8% if you cannot hear him.”

Zachary Miller, Blue Key stated: “… because the last 12 months was at 6.9%.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Rebecca.”

Rebecka Connor, SUB stated: “With that increase, if we focus on getting [unintelligible] and then bump up those last two? KMNR is to keep it at 93% and then jump up those last two, so.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Right now, a recommendation could be made to change it. We currently have our recommendation to raise Club Sports.”

Cody Goins stated: “We would raise Club Sports to about that 81% and then we would bump all the DSF groups up equally to whatever the number came out to be.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “So, we can decide to do that and bump Club Sports up to the 81%. Once it reaches that, the money could go towards something else. If you guys say: no, Club Sports doesn't need to be at 81%, then it could be put all towards KMNR, all towards Pro Projects, all towards SUB, ASUM, any of the ones on here above this line. Zane?”

Zane Stobie stated: “Can we see the percentages?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Percentages. Alrighty, does anybody have any further questions, debate, motions, whatever we're doing? Yes.”

??? motioned to amend the SAFB DSF FS2022-SP2023 Budget by giving a theoretical CPI increase to raise Club Sports to 81% and the remainder of the increase to KMNR concerts. ??? seconded.
Amanda Aiken stated: “All right it has been moved and seconded. So, we'll move into discussion so on the table we have bumping up Club Sports to 81% and then the rest would go towards KMNR. The rest of the CPI raised, whatever it is, the 3%-ish? 4%? I can't remember what was said. So, the approximate 4% would go towards raising up KMNR after the 1% goes to Club Sports. Yes.”

??? stated: "What would 3% be monetarily?"

Amanda Aiken stated: “3% is that of the total student fee? About $6 per student? Yeah?”

Cody Goins stated: “Yes.”

Amanda Aiken stated: "$6. Thanks, Kate. So, it'd be $6 raised per student. So, it would be about $43,452 total to the budget so 1% of that…"

Cody Goins stated: “That would put them over their budget so you guys could put in there…”

Amanda Aiken stated: "So you could say: hey, we wanna raise them up to the 93% of everyone else, and then the rest of the half a percent is going to be spread between all the organizations. It could be anything like that because this good chunk of money. Yay, CPI. All right, Santa hat.”

Connor Keefe, RHA stated: "So, since we are wanting to [unintelligible] Club Sports to 81% and KMNR up to whatever their concert percentage is…”

Amanda Aiken stated: "$17,900 or whatever just for concerts? Okay.”

Connor Keefe, RHA stated: “So it would be for whatever that money would be. And then the remainder something else.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “There is an option. We would have to move to amend the motion, yes. Blue zip-up.”

Dan Martin, RCNC stated: “Just wanted a clarification: KMNR wants this money for concerts, is that correct?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Yes, is that the only money you are currently looking for?”

Dan Martin, RCNC stated: “That's it? That's all the money is going to?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Campus concert is a thumbs up from KMNR. Yes, yellow jacket.”

Austen Solvie, ΠΚΦ stated: “[unintelligible] membership dues?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Alrighty, good input. We will make sure to pass that along. For now, let's stick to the motion's discussion of specifically what to do with the extra CPI, yes.”
Jacob Henderson motioned to amend the amending motion by keeping Club Sports at 81% and split the remainder of the CPI increase between KMNR and Professional Projects.

Jacob Henderson rescinded the motion.

??? motioned to amend the amending motion to fund Club Sports to 81%, fund KMNR to 93%, and split the rest of the CPI increase to the other DSF groups. ??? seconded.

Amanda Aiken stated: “Ready? Yes, Levi.”

Levi Madden, CRDT stated: “So, I amend…”

Amanda Aiken stated: “From there, we can only do two amendments and then we have to close everything down and restart.”

Levi Madden, CRDT stated: “That would put them at 93%. You put the three lowest at 81%.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “What do you mean the three lowest are tied?”

Levi Madden, CRDT stated: “So, Club Sports at 81%, KMNR at 81%, and…”

Amanda Aiken stated: “According to this, sorry, it is Club Sports would be 81% so that they are tied with Professional Projects and then KMNR would go up to 93% with the rest of the DSF organizations and then if there is any leftover CPI overage from there, it would be split evenly amongst all of the groups. Does that make sense? Every thumbs up, yeah? Everybody’s still with me? Sorry guys, long discussion. Yes?”

??? stated: “What is the motion being amended?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “The motion being amended… Arnold? And the motion… okay so the motion originally was to raise… raise this 1% and then I think… and just add KMNR’s concert. John, yes.”

John Gallagher stated: “I have no idea what you are doing. I’m going to recommend a completely different path. Let’s focus on the recommendation on DSF right now. Let’s charge SAFB many of your peers voted upon and you charge them to say: come up with a plan for this reported overage, might be 3%, might be 2%, might be 7%. It might be nothing. Come up with that plan based on the feedback you’re getting right now. It seems to suggest: we want to raise Club Sports 5%, want to give KMNR additional funding, and then figure out the rest of that.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Everybody good with getting rid of all the amendments and making those recommendations to SAFB and that’s pretty much what will happen?”

John Gallagher stated: “That board is your group to make those type of discussions and decisions.”

??? stated: “You said we have to pass this tonight. [unintelligible]?”
Amanda Aiken stated: “So, basically what we would vote on… hold on Dr. Murphy, I will call on you in a minute. So, what we would do is we would vote specifically on what is down here, pass this along, and then we would send our CPI overage back to SAFB. SAFB is probably going to say, yeah this is what everybody in GenBod was discussing. There’s a lot of good thumbs ups about it, yay, and that’s probably what’s going to happen… They can meet on Monday and that can go out with the minutes if you would like to see their recommendation for CPI with the minutes. Does that sound good? Cool. So, yes Dr. Murphy?”

Amanda Aiken nullified all the amendments.

Dr. Murphy stated: “Just wanted to make sure that this gets voted on tonight, but you don’t have to, but you really want to. I’m surprised we haven’t gotten [unintelligible] in early September. That is going to be due back… if they follow the same guidelines, it’s due back… I’ve got to have the paper done due back to the UMSSystem office somewhere between 15th or 30th of January. If I don’t have a recommendation from you now, I get to make my own decision on what to do.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “No, we don’t want Dr. Murphy making our decisions about our fees so it'd be really great if we passed this tonight.”

Dr. Murphy stated: “I’d really want [unintelligible].”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Yes, so recommendations tonight so he can pass them on somewhere between the 15th and the 30th, and then we will get the a-okay by the time April rolls around and that Student Curator meeting. Alrighty, does anybody have any questions about the main budget overall? And SAFB will make their recommendation in the next minutes about the CPI increase. Yes?”

Dan Steinmeyer, Archery Club stated: “Have you guys do anything more confusing stuff with CPI, of course, write it clearly in a Word document so it's documented up there?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Sure, I lost my Word document earlier which is why I didn't do it.”

Dan Steinmeyer, Archery Club stated: “Just so that we can all see it and not repeat it verbally four more times.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Yes. Is there any further discussion? Rebecca.”

Rebecca Connor, SUB stated: “Just a clarification. So, we are getting this done tonight? Moving this…?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Correct. This, which on the screen, nothing has been amended to change from this so…”

Rebecca Connor motioned to amend the SAFB DSF FS2022-SP2023 by changing Professional Projects from $20.73/student to $18.73/student and split the $2/student with the other DSF groups. Nicholas Reichert seconded.

Rebecca Connor, SUB stated: “My reasoning is that I just feel that [unintelligible] money.”
???. stated: “Clarification, so we just passed Club Sports, can that change?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “The overall can change for… yes. That's not what we passed, it's…”

John Gallagher stated: "We passed non-varsity sports for next semester."

Amanda Aiken stated: “Yes, so it's for next semester, not DSF for next year that we passed. Yes.”

???. stated: “How much of an increase, money-wise, that we are giving allocation?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Oh, from, like, total or, like, per how?”

???. stated: “How much will we be getting from last year?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “$134,000 increase using the… from the 20… so they used to be getting $10.35 per student. With the $20.73 per student, it would be a $134,000. 140? $134,000 more than what they were receiving last year… this year. With the $20. Did you want the $18?”

???. stated: “I want the $18.75… or $18.30.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “So it would be about $108,000… $108,800. Did you get it? Cause… okay, so I guess I can write all that down. Yes?”

???. stated: “I just like to point out that, if I did my math correctly, that would be more a decrease for Pro Projects than the $17,900 that we just voted on. I agree that it’s getting spread out but it’s more a decrease to Pro Projects.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Okay, I was pointed out that was more of a decrease than what was previously motioned for. Yes. Guys, please speak up just a little bit. There’s a couple of side conversations that I’m having a hard time hearing.”

Brendan Duvall, CRDT stated: “You are doing the math based on a dollar and something allocations last year, correct?”

Amanda Aiken stated: “For this current school year slash last year's recommendation.”

Brendan Duvall, CRDT stated: “On the sheet that was sent out with the agenda, it says that Design Teams received $12.40.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Oh, that's where we got that number, now. Guys, we were looking for this number earlier. That's not that spreadsheet. I don't know what happened in the spreadsheet. Yeah, I know that's 2020. We couldn't find 2021's. I don't know. Great question. Well, I'm gonna assume that $12.40 is right which means the spreadsheet I've been looking at is wrong. Sorry, do I need to redo math? Yes, Ryan?”

Ryan Highfill motioned for a 5-minute recess. Amanda Aiken nullified the motion.
Majority of the room stated: “No.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “It would be better if we did not. If you guys do need to run out to use the restroom, please make sure you’re coming back. If for some reason that you are not voting near the end, then it really sucks for your organization not being represented. Yes, Megan?”

Megan Baris, STARS stated: “So it’s been pointed out that it should take away more money than we’ve already voted to not take away if we redistributed to all of the DSF groups. I’m also representing a DSF group and we will be fine with this 93% and I’ve not heard any other DSF groups speak up and say they want more money. COVID sucks; we know that, but, also, it’s valid that they have more Design Teams, they are asking for more, and we want to support that.”

Andrew Lofgren, AEG stated: “It seems to doesn’t make sense to me why we want to take money away because it seems like it’s too much? [unintelligible] when Professional Projects have the lowest, so just to take money away [unintelligible].”

Joshua Swischer motioned to suspend the rules to ignore the amendment to SAFB DSF FS2022-SP2023 which changes Professional Projects from $20.73/student to $18.73/student and split the $2/student with the other DSF groups and go straight into voting the SAFB DSF FS2022-SP2023 budget without the amendment. Amanda Aiken rejected the motion.

Amanda Aiken stated: “So, we need to finish this. You can call the question on this motion, we can close this motion, and then go back to the original, and vote on that. Is that what you would like to do.”

Joshua Swischer motioned to suspend the rules to ignore the amendment to SAFB DSF FS2022-SP2023 which changes Professional Projects from $20.73/student to $18.73/student and split the $2/student with the other DSF groups and go straight into voting the SAFB DSF FS2022-SP2023 budget without the amendment. Amanda Aiken rejected the motion.

Amanda Aiken stated: “We kind of need to finish up and close the amendment and vote one way or another is my understanding? Yes?”

?? called the question. Zane Stobie seconded.

Amanda Aiken stated: “All right. Question’s been called on the motion to switch Pro Projects from $20.73 to $18.73. Is it in the PowerPoint? Let me see. Yes, that is correct. Do I hear a second? On the question called, sorry guys. Okay we will now… put that over here so we don’t lose it. Thanks, Arnold. We appreciate your sense of humor. Those of you who didn’t see it… the amendment that we’re complicated. Okay, we know what it is. Just put the QR code.”

The motion to amend the SAFB DSF FS2022-SP2023 budget by changing Professional Projects from $20.73/student to $18.73/student and split the $2/student evenly with the other DSF groups failed (23 ayes [28.75%]; 57 nays [71.25%]; needed 60 ayes [75%+]). Illegal Votes: 6 ayes and 1 nay were rejected as fraudulent.
Amanda Aiken stated: “Back to our original spreadsheet. Zane has a question.”

Zane Stobie stated: “How much was that… do you remember the first one, like $17,900. How much was that exact amount?”

??? stated: “$17,900.”

Zane Stobie stated: “Can…? Never mind.”

???. called the question. ???? seconded.

SAFB DSF FS2022-SP2023 was approved with no amendments (70 ayes [86.42%]; 11 nays [13.58%]). Illegal Votes: 1 aye and 6 nays were rejected as fraudulent.

5. Reports

Zane Stobie motioned to have all reports be paper reports except the President’s report. Ryan Highfill seconded. A hand vote was taken. Seemingly, the entire voting members present voted in favor (aye) of having all reports be paper reports except the President’s report. So, only the President’s report was read aloud.

President

Amanda Aiken reported:

- Tomorrow: 9:30 am Tailgate Policy Forum on Zoom
  - [https://tinyurl.com/mr2hc6ks](https://tinyurl.com/mr2hc6ks)
- This Saturday: Rolla Ugly Sweater Christmas Parade needs volunteers to help toss S&T shirts
  - Meet at 9:30 at Rolla and 9th St.
  - Email Mindy Limback if you and or org are interested [limbackm@mst.edu](mailto:limbackm@mst.edu)
- Free Food during dead week and finals week
  - If you or your organization are interested in service hours or want to help out please contact Arnold ([aknnbd@mst.edu](mailto:aknnbd@mst.edu))
- If there are conflicts between finals during finals week they must reach out before December 3rd to Kristy Giacomelli-Feys
- If your organization has elections please make sure that new reps are filling out the membership form located on the Stuco website
- Packets for Student Body President will be available in January if you are interested please let me know
- If you are looking for a leadership position for your resume, Stuco still has some available
  - Email [stuco@mst.edu](mailto:stuco@mst.edu) with any questions
- Have a safe winter break!

There were no questions.

Vice President of Engagement and Outreach

Chase Johnson reported:
If you have any suggestions for the student interest survey, let me know

Vice President of Operations
Arnold Nguyen reported:
- Need volunteers for Free Food during dead/finals week
- Anyone from your organization can volunteer
- Claim your slots by emailing me! Open slots are here: https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1tIpTnsO1Q4p3QxZ3LeItEclKg7WqAMYXESqB_NdSalE/edit?usp=sharing

Vice President of Student Services
Lauren Horn had nothing to report.

Vice President of Academics
Kate Fabac had nothing to report.

Advisor
John Gallagher reported:
- The deadline to appeal for final exam conflict relief (reschedule) is this Friday, December 3. Those who have more than two exams in one day may request a reschedule, but must formally do so through the Registrar’s office.
- RSO registration deadline is Friday, February 4, 2022.
- Spring 2022 and Fall 2022 event/room requests are now open. If you have a large event, especially a traditional activity, please submit your event request ASAP. The sooner you do, the more likely you’ll have access to ideal spaces for your activity on the desired dates.

Academic Resources Lead
Emily Collins had nothing to report.

Campus Infrastructure Lead
Naman Desai reported:
- Met with Adam about bike lanes and bus routes
- Submitted work order for pavers
- Emailed the Art Department for student paintings in Havener
- Deciding on what furniture to put in Havener
  - Will present ideas that I have

Leadership Development Lead
Wulfe Retzlaff had nothing to report.
Community Liaison
Adam McTigue had nothing to report.

Student Activity Finance Board Chair
Cody Goins had nothing to report.

St. Patrick’s Board President
Elliot Sutalski reported:
- THERE’S ONLY 107 DAYS UNTIL THE 114TH ANNUAL BEST EVER ST. PATS!!! LET’S GET FIRED UP!!
- :) Christmas parade uWu

Executive at Large
Zane Stobie had nothing to report.

ASUM (Associated Students of the University of Missouri)
Ryan Highfill reported:
- What’s Happening Now?
  - Good Luck with Finals!
  - Stop by Our Office for Candy!
    - Room 234 Havener Center
- What’s Up Next?
  - General Body Meeting
    - Thursday, December 2nd, 6:00pm, St. Pat’s C

Panhellenic Council
Kaylee Schafer had nothing to report.

RHA (Residence Hall Association)
Connor Keefe reported:

SUB (Student Union Board)
Anisa Ripp had nothing to report.

Council of Graduate Students
Marissa Spencer reported:
- Met with Provost Colin Potts & Vice Provost of Graduate Education Costas Tsatsoulis regarding graduate student survey results
● Summary of graduate student survey results have since been shared with CGS (without identifying information); email cgs@mst.edu
● During the meeting the Provost proposed many future solutions relating to: change of advisor, thesis to non-thesis, tuition waivers may be processed by SFA, annual PhD evaluation forms, GPA warning, google process for online forms, online thesis submission, etc)

6. Say Anything

Samuel Essary, HKN stated: “Question about contacting Student Involvement about constitution updates. We’ve reached out to John twice and emailed him directly and we have not gotten a response on what’s the process for that for…”

Amanda Aiken stated: “What is your organization?”

Samuel Essary, HKN stated: “Eta Kappa Nu.”

Amanda Aiken stated: “Eta Kappa Nu? Yep. Email John. Neat. Any other Say Anything’s? Complaints about anything on campus? Update: we did get a complaint that the water fountains are still closed and we are working to get those open first thing next semester so we will have all water fountains back to normal next semester. Fun emails today. All right, any others Say Anythings? Seeing none…”

7. Announcements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Links/Description</th>
<th>Date, Time, Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASUM General Meeting</td>
<td><a href="https://asum.mst.edu/">https://asum.mst.edu/</a></td>
<td>December 2 06:00pm Havener Center St. Pat’s Ballroom C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaffer’s Guild Post-Thanksgiving Christmas Sale</td>
<td><a href="https://mst.campuslabs.com/engage/event/7614674">https://mst.campuslabs.com/engage/event/7614674</a></td>
<td>December 3 09:00am – 02:00pm Havener Center</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Deadline to Resolve Final Exam Conflicts | ● Those who have more 3 or more exams in one day, 2 exams at the same time, may request a reschedule, but must formally do so through the Registrar’s office  
● Questions should be directed to Kristy Giacomelli-Feys kristyg@mst.edu. | December 3 Before 04:00pm Registrar’s Office |
<p>| ACM MinerLAN                 | <a href="https://econnection.mst.edu/2021/11/join-acm-on-dec-3-for-an-in-person-minerlan/">https://econnection.mst.edu/2021/11/join-acm-on-dec-3-for-an-in-person-minerlan/</a> | December 3 06:00pm – 01:00am CS Building Room 120 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Type</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Date/Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUB Presents: Bill and Ted Face the Music</td>
<td><a href="https://sub.mst.edu">https://sub.mst.edu</a> Raffles for attendees</td>
<td>December 3 07:00pm Havener Center St. Pat's Ballroom</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Rolla Ugly Sweater Christmas Parade | ● Need volunteers  
● Email Mindy Limback if you and/or organization are interested [limbackm@mst.edu](mailto:limbackm@mst.edu) | December 4 09:30am N. Rolla Street & W. 9th Street |
| RHA/NRHH DnD in the Halls        | ● RSVP: [https://bit.ly/rhadnd2021](https://bit.ly/rhadnd2021)            | December 4 12:00pm – 09:00pm Must RSVP through link |
| SUB Relaxation Day                | [https://sub.mst.edu/](https://sub.mst.edu/)                            | Sometime during dead week; See website for more info soon |
| TJHA Dead Week Study at Slounge   | [https://rha.mst.edu/locals/tjha/](https://rha.mst.edu/locals/tjha/) or [https://mst.campuslabs.com/engage/organization/thomas-jefferson-residence-hall-association](https://mst.campuslabs.com/engage/organization/thomas-jefferson-residence-hall-association) | Sometime during dead week; Contact TJHA for more info; Thomas Jefferson Hall South Lounge |
| Free Food Dead Week              | ● Need volunteers for Free Food during dead/finals week  
○ Anyone from your organization can volunteer  
○ Claim your slots by emailing [aknnbd@mst.edu](mailto:aknnbd@mst.edu)  
○ Slots: see link below table | December 9 & 10 07:00pm – 11:00pm Curtis Laws Wilson Library Volunteers Needed! |
| Free Food Finals Week            | ● Need volunteers for Free Food during dead/finals week | December 13 & 14 08:15am – 12:15pm Havener Center Atrium |
Anyone from your organization can volunteer
Claim your slots by emailing aknnbd@mst.edu
Slots: see link below table

Volunteers Needed!

Deadline to Register for St. Pat’s Competition
https://stpats.mst.edu/participate/

December 30
See link

8. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 08:12 PM.